CC. Talk: Paris, 6/15/2018

Progress on the Cosmological Constant

## Λ

With P. Graham and S. Rajendran

What is the cosmological constant problem?

See Nemanja's talk





Why is Abbott so great?

Weinberg's 
$$no-go$$
:  
 $V'=O \neq V=O$  at the  
Same point is a tuning

Why is Abbott so great?

Weinberg's no-go:  $\bigvee' = \bigcirc \neq \lor \simeq \bigcirc$ 



Fix Abbott

Fix Abbott







The Universe contracts as 
$$\emptyset$$
 rolls  
-  $\mathcal{P}$  Reheat: add capling  $Z = \frac{\emptyset}{f} F'F'$  to U(1) gauge  
boson,  
mass  $M_{A'}$   
E.O.M.:  $\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} - \frac{\varphi}{a^{2}}\dot{\varphi} + V'(\dot{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$   $(\vec{E}=\vec{A}, \vec{B}=\frac{1}{a}\vec{\varphi}\times\vec{A})$   
 $(\partial_{z}^{2} + H\partial_{z} - \frac{\nabla^{2}}{a^{2}} - m_{A'}^{2} - \frac{\dot{\varphi}}{f}\cdot\vec{\varphi}\times)\vec{A} = O$   
 $\rightarrow$  spatially Tourier-Transform  $\vec{A}$  with polarizations  $\vec{e}_{z}\cdot\vec{k} = O$   
 $\rightarrow patially$  Tourier-Transform  $\vec{A}$  with  $\vec{p} = A_{\pm}(k)$   $\vec{e}_{z}\times\vec{k} = \pm i|\vec{k}|\vec{e}_{\pm}$   
For Small H  
VS.  $M_{A}, \vec{k}$   $\vec{A}_{\pm} + (m_{A'}^{2} + k^{2} \mp \vec{\varphi} \cdot \vec{k})\vec{A}_{\pm} = O$   
When  $\dot{\vec{f}}_{F} \gtrsim m_{A'}$ ,  $A_{*}$  has tachyonic modes

Anber, Sorbo

For small H  
vs. ma, k  

$$\dot{A}_{\pm} + (m_{A'}^{2} + k^{2} \mp \frac{\dot{\phi}}{F}k)A_{\pm} = 0$$
  
When  $\dot{\phi}_{F} \ge m_{A'}$ , A. has tachyonic modes  
numerically (for initial A.~k),  $\dot{\phi}$  loses  $O(i)$  fraction  
in  $\Delta t \sim O(io - ioo) F/\dot{\phi}$   
Since initially,  $H \sim \dot{\phi}_{mpl}$ , this is fast  $\dot{\phi}_{f}$   
 $f < \frac{m_{pl}}{O(io - ioo)}$   
Hubble can keep  
increasing as long as  $O(io - ioo) \stackrel{f}{=} \sim \frac{O(io - ioo)}{m_{A'}} \lesssim \frac{1}{H_{rh}}$ 

Hubble can keep increasing as long as  $O(10-100) = \frac{1}{p} \sim \frac{O(10-100)}{m_{a'}} \lesssim \frac{1}{H_{rh}}$ · Final reheat requirement - D A' decays to SM in time longer than Atdecay ~ Mpl Ma'F



For a higher cutoff, add a second stage: Ī Use Anber - Sorbo Friction (C, O)Can build a 2-field model where X is stuck until I gets to 1, \* x Polls and produces barriers (more to come).

Barring in flat FRW:  

$$H^{2} = \overset{\$T}{3} G_{\mu} p \quad ; \quad \dot{H} = -4\pi G_{\nu} (p+p)$$

$$T_{\sigma} get \quad H=0 \quad ; \quad \dot{H} > 0$$

$$p=0, \quad p < 0$$

$$near \quad \min(p+p) < 0 \quad = \quad w < -1$$

$$Violates \quad the \quad NEC \quad (n^{n}n^{\nu} T_{av} \geq 0 \quad for \quad any)$$

$$null \quad n^{m})$$

NEC can be violated in a Compact space: Casimir in compact dimensions massless fields  $Mink^4 \times T^2$  $T_{\mu\nu} = \rho \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -2R^{n} \\ & & -2R^{n} \end{pmatrix}$ g = (-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1/2, 1/2) Positive Curvatore is effective NECV 3-sphere H<sup>2</sup> = \$\$\$ G\_1 (P- \$\frac{\chi}{a^2})\$  $H = -4\pi G_{N} \left( P + P - \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{a^{2}} \right)$ Can produce positive H

Example of Vortizity: Gödel Metrz  $ds^{4} = \frac{2}{\omega^{2}} \left( -dt^{2} + dr^{2} + dy^{2} - (sinh^{4}r - sinh^{4}r) d\phi^{2} - 2\sqrt{2} sinh^{4}r d\phi dt \right)$ 



Cosmological Const. + Spinning Dust Stationary -o Closed Timelike Curves Due to tipping of light cones. = Put in compact Space

A Bounce Metric

 $dS^{1} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t) d\vec{x}^{2} + L^{2} (d\theta^{2} + d\phi^{2} + d\phi^{2}) - 2 \in L (Sin \theta d\phi dt + Cos \theta d\phi_{2} d\phi)$ Static, Compact space Vorticity "FRW"

Plug in a bounce a(t) and compute Gur infer Jur

(compute to  $O(e^2)$ , choose  $N^{n} = (1, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ 

 $\int r L^2 = \langle x \rangle = 0 \quad T_{44} + \frac{T_{7X}}{a^2} = M_7^5 \left[ \frac{\epsilon^2}{2L^2} - 2 \frac{\alpha}{a} \right]$ Can be > 0 !

NECV required in compact space: •  $far n^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) + n^{\mu} n^{\nu} g_{\mu\nu} = 0$  $= n^n n^r T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{C}{L^2} \sin \theta - 3 \frac{a}{a}$ always negative somewhere Use Casimir (or curvature) Can show  $T_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{Casimir}} = T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{D}}$ where the difference, T,, can preserve NEC What is this fluid (our current task)

Goal - A microscopiz theory of Tur (we are close) For  $L^2 \ddot{a} \ll 1$ , there must be a 4D effective theory with NECV fluid



Once NECV is allowed, we can have real fun

Cosmological Consequences New Background Fluids - may have non-trivial perturbations New massless scalar - some non-gravitational coupling regid. "Dark Energy" may be evolving (should explain why C.C. today is 70) Contraction phase may be involved w/ power spectrum ...

Jummary



Thank Kong